

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trac enk Office Address: COMMISSION: OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

N

·		FIRST NAMED	NDOI ICANT	I ATT	Y, DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	<del></del>			8766/0030
09/113,9	24 07/09/98	BRIGSTOCK			
•••	•	-		EXA	MINER
e t		HM12/09:	29	SPECTOR.	1
LISA A H				ARTURIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CICHARDSON	•			-1
4225 EXE SUITE 14	CUTIVE SQUARE	· : : .		1646	<del>/</del>
	CA 92037			DATE MAILED:	AA (AA (AA
				DAIL MALLED.	09/29/99
	*	÷ ;			
		-			
This is a communication for COMMISSIONER OF PAT	rom the examiner in charge of TENTS AND TRADEMARKS	of your application.		·	
		ē			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	C	FFICE ACTION S	UMMARY		-
7. 2	Agriculture To 177		* :	•	
Responsive to commun		<u> </u>			
This action is EIMAL					
This action is FINAL.		•			
Since this application is	s in condition for allowand	e except for formal ma	tters, prosecution a	s to the merits is c	losed in
	actice under Ex parte Qu			en de la companya de	
hortened statutory perk	od for response to this act	ion is set to expire		month(s), or thir	ly days,
laborar in languar from th	a mailing data of this cor	munication Failure to	respond within the I	period for response v	MIII CAUSE
application to become a	abandoned. (35 U.S.C. §	133). Extensions of tir	ne may be obtained	under the provisions	of 37 CFR
36(a).		,			
	•		٠, .		
sposition of Claims		•			
Claim(s)	1-3				in the application
Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn fi	rom consideratio
Claim(s)					/are allowed.
_Ctairn(s)/	<u>-3                                    </u>		<del></del>		/are rejected.
Claim(s)			<del>.</del>		re objected to.
Claim(s)			are subje	ect to restriction or el	ection requireme
oplication Papers				1 1 C	
	•		·		
	ce of Draftsperson's Pater	nt Drawing Review, PT	0-948.		•
The drawing(s) filed or	n		_is/are objected to	by the Examiner.	- diagrammed
	correction, filed on			_is [] approved	asapproved
	jected to by the Examine				
The oath or declaratio	n is objected to by the Ex	ammer.			
fortty under 35 U.S.C.	119			•	
•			2 440(a) (-D		
Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. 9 119(a)-(d).	•	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐	None of the CERTIF	TED copies of the prior	ity documents have	been	
received.	3 14 15				
received in Applic	ation No. (Series Code/S	erial Number)		<del></del> -	*
received in this n	ational stage application f	rom the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)). ·	
*Certified copies not re-	ceived:				
-	-				_
J Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for domest	ac priority under 35 U.S	s.c. 9 119(e).		
ttachment(s)					
•					
	•	_			
•	Cited, PTO-892 e Statement(s), PTO-1449	9, Paper No(s). <u>5</u>			
Information Disclosur	e Statement(s), PTO-1449	9, Paper No(s). <u>5</u>	<del></del>		
Information Disclosur Interview Summary, F	e Statement(s), PTO-1449 PTO-413				
Information Disclosur Interview Summary, F Notice of Draftperson	e Statement(s), PTO-1449 PTO-413 's Patent Drawing Review	r, PTO-948	<u> </u>		
Information Disclosur Interview Summary, F Notice of Draftperson	e Statement(s), PTO-1449 PTO-413	r, PTO-948	<u> </u>		

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER IN
PTO COPY

#### Part III: Detailed Office Action

Claims 1-3 are pending and under consideration.

#### Formal Matters:

5

10

15

20

25

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Specifically, the title should clearly reflect that the claims are to antibodies, and not to HBGF itself.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required for *each* listed item:

- The disclosure must be amended to refer to related applications at page 1§1 of the specification.

### Objections and Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear to what the final phrase "and which binds with immunoreactive fragments thereof" refers, nor what limitation it implies (above and beyond that of the first line of the claim). Further, part (c) of the claim ends in a period; a claim should consist of a single sentence only.

Claims 2 and 3 are indefinite for depending from an indefinite claim.

## Rejections Over Prior Art:

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grotendorst et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,408,040. Grotendorst teaches antibodies which specifically bind to CTGF, but not to PDGF; see claims 2-4. By applicants admission at page 14 of the instant specification, HBGF would reasonably be expected to be cross-reactive with anti-CTGF antibodies. While one would not necessarily expect *all* anti-CTGF antibodies to cross-react with HBGF, as HBGF is apparently a fragment of CTGF, one of ordinary skill in the art would nonetheless reasonably expect a reasonable number of anti-CTGF antibodies to react with HBGF. Accordingly, the instant claims appear to be anticipated by the antibodies disclosed and claimed by Grotendorst.

#### Advisory Information:

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1793. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, Ph.D, can be reached at (703)308-4310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1800 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

# Serial Number 09/113924 Art Unit 1646

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 305-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. **Please** advise the Examiner at the telephone number above when an informal fax is being transmitted.

5

10

Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner

15

20 LMS 113924.1 9/25/99